

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

dress:	COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
	P.O. Box 1450
	Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
	www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/625,311 07/23/2003		Greg Schmid	51263-00261 USPT	8387	
24238	7590	04/29/2005		EXAMINER	
JENKENS &	& GILCH	RIST		BUI, BI	ING Q
1401 MCKIN	NEY				
SUITE 2600				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
HOUSTON,	HOUSTON, TX 77010			2642	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/625,311	SCHMID ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Bing Q Bui	2642				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuting received by the Office later than three months after the mailing department adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) d. will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro e, cause the application to become ABANDON	timely filed ays will be considered timely. m the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 J	<u>uly 2003</u> .					
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This	s action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims		,				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o						
Applicati	ion Papers						
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examina The drawing(s) filed on 23 July 2003 is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	⊠ accepted or b) objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S ction is required if the drawing(s) is o	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority u	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
A44	M-1	•					
_	Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail I	Date				
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 r No(s)/Mail Date <u>12/16/03</u> .	5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/625,311 Page 2

Art Unit: 2642

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-6 are pending in the application for examination.

Double Patenting

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321© may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

The claimed invention in the instant application is fully disclosed in the patent and it is broader than the claimed invention in the U.S. Patent numbers 6,483,912 and

Art Unit: 2642

6,748,070. No new invention, or new improvement is being claimed in the instant application. Applicant is now attempting to claim broadly that which had been previously described in more detail in the claims of the patent (In re Van Ornum, 214 USPQ 761 CCPA 1982).

Claims 1-6 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-3 of U. S. Patent No. 6,879,671 since the claims, if allowed, would improperly extend the "right to exclude" already granted in the patent. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the context of the claimed invention is similar to the context of the cited claim of the U.S. patent.

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the patent and is covered by the patent since the patent and the application are claiming common subject matter as follows: a system and method for providing secure communication of a voice call placed across a PSTN through a telephony resource, wherein a voice call is understood to refer to a call using a bearer service that is circuit mode, with either 64Kbps information transfer rate or 64Kbps information transfer rate adapted to 56Kbps, that uses unrestricted or restricted digital information transfer capability.

Conclusion

3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Application/Control Number: 10/625,311

Art Unit: 2642

The following patents are cited to further show the state of the art in general:

Page 4

U.S. Pat. No. 5,490,212

U.S. Pat. No. 5,946,386

U.S. Pat. No. 6,098,172

U.S. Pat. No. 6,226,372

U.S. Pat. No. 6,226,751

U.S. Pat. No. 6,320,948

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bing Bui whose telephone number is (571) 272-7482. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 7:30 to 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ahmad Matar, can be reached on (571) 272-7488. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306 and for formal communications intended for entry (please label the response "EXPEDITED PROCEDURE") or for informal or draft communications not intended for entry (please label the response "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT").

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4700. Sim 2. Mini

22 Apr 2005